# **Complete Summary**

#### **GUIDELINE TITLE**

Complementary medicines in pre-dialysis patients.

## **BIBLIOGRAPHIC SOURCE(S)**

Voss D. Complementary medicines in pre-dialysis patients. Nephrology 2005 Dec;10(S5):S201-3.

Voss D. Complementary medicines in pre-dialysis patients. Westmead NSW (Australia): CARI - Caring for Australasians with Renal Impairment; 2005 Aug. 6 p. [10 references]

#### **GUIDELINE STATUS**

This is the current release of the guideline.

## **COMPLETE SUMMARY CONTENT**

SCOPE

METHODOLOGY - including Rating Scheme and Cost Analysis
RECOMMENDATIONS
EVIDENCE SUPPORTING THE RECOMMENDATIONS
BENEFITS/HARMS OF IMPLEMENTING THE GUIDELINE RECOMMENDATIONS
IMPLEMENTATION OF THE GUIDELINE
INSTITUTE OF MEDICINE (IOM) NATIONAL HEALTHCARE QUALITY REPORT
CATEGORIES
IDENTIFYING INFORMATION AND AVAILABILITY
DISCLAIMER

#### SCOPE

## **DISEASE/CONDITION(S)**

Chronic kidney disease

#### **GUIDELINE CATEGORY**

Management Treatment

#### **CLINICAL SPECIALTY**

Family Practice
Internal Medicine

Nephrology Nutrition Pediatrics

#### **INTENDED USERS**

Dietitians Physicians

# **GUIDELINE OBJECTIVE(S)**

To assess whether improved or reduced renal survival is associated with the use of complementary medicines

#### **TARGET POPULATION**

Adults and children with chronic kidney disease

#### INTERVENTIONS AND PRACTICES CONSIDERED

Comprehensive medication (including over-the-counter combination medications, herbal beverages, and alternative/complementary medications) and dietary assessment, cessation of use of toxic or potentially toxic agents, and monitoring of renal function as indicated were considered but not recommended.

#### **MAJOR OUTCOMES CONSIDERED**

Glomerular filtration rate

#### **METHODOLOGY**

#### METHODS USED TO COLLECT/SELECT EVIDENCE

Searches of Electronic Databases

## **DESCRIPTION OF METHODS USED TO COLLECT/SELECT THE EVIDENCE**

**Databases searched**: MeSH terms and text words for kidney disease were combined with MeSH terms and text words for traditional medicine and Chinese herbal drugs, then combined with the Cochrane highly sensitive search strategy for randomized controlled trials and search filters for identifying prognosis and aetiology studies. The search was carried out in Medline (1996 – November Week 2 2003). The Cochrane Renal Group Trials Register was also searched for trials not indexed in Medline.

Date of searches: 27 November 2003.

## **NUMBER OF SOURCE DOCUMENTS**

Not stated

# METHODS USED TO ASSESS THE QUALITY AND STRENGTH OF THE EVIDENCE

Weighting According to a Rating Scheme (Scheme Given)

#### RATING SCHEME FOR THE STRENGTH OF THE EVIDENCE

#### **Levels of Evidence**

**Level I**: Evidence obtained from a systematic review of all relevant randomized controlled trials (RCTs)

Level II: Evidence obtained from at least one properly designed RCT

**Level III**: Evidence obtained from well-designed pseudo-randomized controlled trials (alternate allocation or some other method); comparative studies with concurrent controls and allocation not randomized, cohort studies, case-control studies, interrupted time series with a control group; comparative studies with historical control, two or more single arm studies, interrupted time series without a parallel control group

**Level IV**: Evidence obtained from case series, either post-test or pretest/post-test

#### METHODS USED TO ANALYZE THE EVIDENCE

Systematic Review with Evidence Tables

#### **DESCRIPTION OF THE METHODS USED TO ANALYZE THE EVIDENCE**

Not stated

## METHODS USED TO FORMULATE THE RECOMMENDATIONS

**Expert Consensus** 

# DESCRIPTION OF METHODS USED TO FORMULATE THE RECOMMENDATIONS

Not stated

#### RATING SCHEME FOR THE STRENGTH OF THE RECOMMENDATIONS

Not applicable

## **COST ANALYSIS**

A formal cost analysis was not performed and published cost analyses were not reviewed.

#### **METHOD OF GUIDELINE VALIDATION**

Comparison with Guidelines from Other Groups Peer Review

#### **DESCRIPTION OF METHOD OF GUIDELINE VALIDATION**

Recommendations of Others. Recommendations regarding complementary medicines in pre-dialysis patients from the following groups were discussed: Kidney Disease Outcomes Quality Initiative, British Renal Association, and European Dialysis & Transplant Nurses Association/European Renal Care Association.

#### RECOMMENDATIONS

#### **MAJOR RECOMMENDATIONS**

Definitions for the levels of evidence (I–IV) can be found at the end of the "Major Recommendations" field.

#### Guidelines

No recommendations possible based on Level I or II evidence

## **Suggestions for Clinical Care**

(Suggestions are based on Level III and IV evidence)

 Some complementary medicines are toxic to renal tissue. A comprehensive medication and dietary assessment should identify these. Use of these renally toxic agents in existing renal impairment should be advised against. (Level IV evidence and Opinion)

Practitioners should be aware of over-the-counter combination medications, herbal beverages, and alternative/complementary medications when taking a history.

Most complementary medicines are a combination of both toxic and potentially toxic agents.

If the patient continues the agent/s, close monitoring of renal function should be performed. Initially, monitoring may need to be weekly, and with satisfactory results, the monitoring frequency can be reduced. Monitoring needs to be continued for as long as the agent/s are taken, as toxicity may be delayed. If any reduction in renal function is noticed, the complementary agent/s should be ceased and not reintroduced.

Patients will often not volunteer the use of these agents as they are considered non-toxic or not important, as they are not prescribed.

Reviews or case reports of various agents are referred to in the Appendix in the original guideline document.

Some complementary medicines are associated with renal toxicity. Their toxicity is more marked with pre-existing renal disease or reduced glomerular filtration rate (GFR).

#### Definitions:

#### **Levels of Evidence**

**Level I**: Evidence obtained from a systematic review of all relevant randomized controlled trials (RCTs)

Level II: Evidence obtained from at least one properly designed RCT

**Level III**: Evidence obtained from well-designed pseudo-randomized controlled trials (alternate allocation or some other method); comparative studies with concurrent controls and allocation not randomized, cohort studies, case-control studies, interrupted time series with a control group; comparative studies with historical control, two or more single arm studies, interrupted time series without a parallel control group

**Level IV**: Evidence obtained from case series, either post-test or pretest/post-test

#### **CLINICAL ALGORITHM(S)**

None provided

# **EVIDENCE SUPPORTING THE RECOMMENDATIONS**

#### TYPE OF EVIDENCE SUPPORTING THE RECOMMENDATIONS

The type of supporting evidence is identified and graded for each recommendation (see "Major Recommendations").

#### BENEFITS/HARMS OF IMPLEMENTING THE GUIDELINE RECOMMENDATIONS

#### **POTENTIAL BENEFITS**

Appropriate advice against use of complementary medicines in pre-dialysis patients

#### **POTENTIAL HARMS**

Not stated

## **IMPLEMENTATION OF THE GUIDELINE**

#### **DESCRIPTION OF IMPLEMENTATION STRATEGY**

An implementation strategy was not provided.

# INSTITUTE OF MEDICINE (IOM) NATIONAL HEALTHCARE QUALITY REPORT CATEGORIES

#### **IOM CARE NEED**

Living with Illness

#### **IOM DOMAIN**

Effectiveness

## **IDENTIFYING INFORMATION AND AVAILABILITY**

# **BIBLIOGRAPHIC SOURCE(S)**

Voss D. Complementary medicines in pre-dialysis patients. Nephrology 2005 Dec;10(S5):S201-3.

Voss D. Complementary medicines in pre-dialysis patients. Westmead NSW (Australia): CARI - Caring for Australasians with Renal Impairment; 2005 Aug. 6 p. [10 references]

#### **ADAPTATION**

Not applicable: The guideline was not adapted from another source.

#### **DATE RELEASED**

2005 Dec

# **GUIDELINE DEVELOPER(S)**

Caring for Australasians with Renal Impairment - Disease Specific Society

## **SOURCE(S) OF FUNDING**

Industry-sponsored funding administered through Kidney Health Australia

#### **GUIDELINE COMMITTEE**

Not stated

## **COMPOSITION OF GROUP THAT AUTHORED THE GUIDELINE**

Author: David Voss

#### FINANCIAL DISCLOSURES/CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

All guideline writers are required to fill out a declaration of conflict of interest.

#### **GUIDELINE STATUS**

This is the current release of the guideline.

#### **GUIDELINE AVAILABILITY**

Electronic copies: Available in Portable Document Format (PDF) from the <u>Caring</u> for Australasians with Renal Impairment Web site.

Print copies: Available from Caring for Australasians with Renal Impairment, Locked Bag 4001, Centre for Kidney Research, Westmead NSW, Australia 2145

#### **AVAILABILITY OF COMPANION DOCUMENTS**

The following is available:

• The CARI guidelines. A guide for writers. Caring for Australasians with Renal Impairment. 2006 May. 6 p.

Electronic copies: Available from the <u>Caring for Australasians with Renal Impairment (CARI) Web site.</u>

#### **PATIENT RESOURCES**

None available

## **NGC STATUS**

This NGC summary was completed by ECRI Institute on April 28, 2008.

#### **COPYRIGHT STATEMENT**

This NGC summary is based on the original guideline, which is subject to the guideline developer's copyright restrictions.

# **DISCLAIMER**

## **NGC DISCLAIMER**

The National Guideline Clearinghouse™ (NGC) does not develop, produce, approve, or endorse the guidelines represented on this site.

All guidelines summarized by NGC and hosted on our site are produced under the auspices of medical specialty societies, relevant professional associations, public or private organizations, other government agencies, health care organizations or plans, and similar entities.

Guidelines represented on the NGC Web site are submitted by guideline developers, and are screened solely to determine that they meet the NGC Inclusion Criteria which may be found at <a href="http://www.quideline.gov/about/inclusion.aspx">http://www.quideline.gov/about/inclusion.aspx</a>.

NGC, AHRQ, and its contractor ECRI Institute make no warranties concerning the content or clinical efficacy or effectiveness of the clinical practice guidelines and related materials represented on this site. Moreover, the views and opinions of developers or authors of guidelines represented on this site do not necessarily state or reflect those of NGC, AHRQ, or its contractor ECRI Institute, and inclusion or hosting of guidelines in NGC may not be used for advertising or commercial endorsement purposes.

Readers with questions regarding guideline content are directed to contact the guideline developer.

## Copyright/Permission Requests

Date Modified: 7/27/2009

